

From: [Hope, Ginny](#)
To: [Moore, Gary](#)
Subject: RE: 0702-062 CES Environmental. CB&I January Progress Report. Please review and respond by Tuesday, 03/10. Thanks, Gary!
Date: Wednesday, March 04, 2015 7:39:42 AM

I resent this on to JoAnn and have printed it out to go with the others – when we do our Performance Evaluations – coming up.

From: Moore, Gary
Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 11:39 PM
To: Hope, Ginny
Cc: Gee, Jo
Subject: Re: 0702-062 CES Environmental. CB&I January Progress Report. Please review and respond by Tuesday, 03/10. Thanks, Gary!

Gary Moore
Federal On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 6
214-789-1627 cell
214-665-6609 office
moore.gary@epa.gov

From: Hope, Ginny
Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2015 1:37 PM
To: Moore, Gary
Cc: Gee, Jo
Subject: 0702-062 CES Environmental. CB&I January Progress Report. Please review and respond by Tuesday, 03/10. Thanks, Gary!

*Instructions: The OSC or Task Monitor shall review the monthly report attached to this e-mail. Please complete the form and respond back to **all with history** – within 5 business days or the date in the Subject line: The e-mail traffic will provide date and task monitor name for documentation purposes.*

ERRS MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT REVIEW
Contract EP-S6-07-02

CB&I

Site Name: CES Environmental, Inc.

Task Order: 0702-062 Reporting Period: January 2015

I have reviewed the contractor's monthly progress report and have determined:

 X Site specific monthly progress report is acceptable as presented. No changes or modifications are required at this time.

 Additional supporting data was requested from the contractor for the monthly progress report. The revised monthly progress report was received on (date). Provide comments.

COMMENTS:

Subcontract/Purchasing: The number of hours for the subcontracting/purchasing is unusually high for this project when compared to the number of total hours for a Response Manager. The procurement process utilized by CB&I is onerous resulting in excessive hours.

Adler Berm and Truck Guards: The OSC believes that the Government should only be paying for the depreciated purchase price of the equipment rather than the rental and depreciated purchase price. I have not yet seen this recorded on at 1900-55. This will ultimately require CO

intervention.

Airgas Equipment: The OSC believes that the Government should only be paying for the depreciated purchase price of the equipment rather than the rental and depreciated purchase price. This has been included on the 1900-55 as a pending at this point. This will require CO intervention.

Ref. Clause G.20 Performance

Ginny Hope

U.S.EPA -6SF-VC

1445 Ross Avenue

Dallas, TX 75202-2733

214:665-6487

Fax: 214:665-6660